2017-2018 Assessment Cycle ARTS_ Interior Design BID

Mission (due 12/4/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / VP and Program / Department Mission

Mission of College or VP-area

Provide the mission for the College or VP-area in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

Mission: The College of the Arts prepares students to be creative, critical and responsive professionals through our fine arts, design and performance programs. We serve our students and communities by means of collaborative, experiential, innovative, and globally relevant learning opportunities and partnerships.

Vision: The College of the Arts seeks to create a bridge between the arts and cultures of the world and the unique context and traditions of Acadiana.

- 1. Values:
- 2. We are passionate about delivering exceptional teaching and mentoring, supported by faculty research and creative activity.
- 3. We foster individual as well as collaborative initiatives in the arts, among the arts, and with other disciplines.
- 4. We encourage teaching and learning rooted in traditional approaches and integrating evolving concepts and technologies.
- 5. We strive to attract, build and celebrate a diverse body of students, faculty and staff.
- 6. We enhance the cultural, civic and artistic environment of Lafayette, Acadiana, and all of Louisiana through community engagements of students, faculty and alumni.
- 7. We seek to achieve the highest standards of professionalism in all that we produce.

Mission of Program / Department

Provide the program / department mission in the space provided. The mission statement should concisely define the purpose, functions, and key constituents. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

In recognition of the unique abilities and interests of each student, our program emphasizes student-centered teaching and learning that foster the development of these abilities and interests in the context of a studio-based interior design education. Our program maintains its commitment to the liberal arts and sciences, as it prepares our graduates for a professional life in design that embraces life-long learning. We aim to deliver a distinctive educational experience that balances creativity with the pragmatic demands of professional design preparation that will enable our graduates to contribute to the design professions in a global context.

Attachment (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.

Assessment Plan (due 12/4/17)

Assessment Plan (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Assessment List

Goal/Objective	Interior design projects have an articulated (spoken and written) design concept.									
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);									
Standards/Outcomes										
	Identifier	Identifier Description								
	CIDA.4									
	CIDA.5	Comm	unication: Students communicate effectively.							
Assessment Measures	Assessme	ent	Criterion	Attachments						
	Measure									
	Direct - Presentation	on	Articulates a clear project concept							
	Direct - Pro	oject	Presents a logically organized articulation of the design solution in relation to concept							
	Direct - Pro	oject	Articulates an aesthetic scheme/concept							
	Direct - Project Identifies the human-centered design strategies employed in the project									
	Direct - Presentation	on	Articulates the research/evidence that has guided design decisions							
	Direct - Presentation	on	Avoids self-identification with project decisions and solutions (no "I, Me, Mine" etc.)							

Goal/Objective	Interior design projects demonstrate an approach that is human-centered that reflects research appropriate for the student's level in the program					
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);					
Standards/Outcomes						
	Identifier Description					

	CIDA.4 Interior Design: Students understand and apply the knowledge, skills, processes, and theories of interior design.							
Assessment Measures			T					
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments					
	Direct - Project	Research and evaluation of research is shown in the student work						
	Direct - Project	A human-centered concept is clearly articulated						
	Direct - Project	Research is applied to the design outcomes						
	Direct - Project	Research informs the design process						
		'	1					

Interior design projects demonstrate the selection and application of materials, furnishings, and products that address issues of function, aesthetics, and sustainability appropriate for the student's level in the program							
PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);							
Identifier	Descr	iption					
CIDA.6		· ·					
		<u> </u>					
Assessme Measure	ent	Criterion	Attachments				
Direct - Project		Exhibit functional appropriateness to the type of project (i.e., performance & sustainability criteria)					
Direct - Project		Exhibit aesthetic appropriateness to the type of project					
Direct - Pro	oject	Exhibit relationship between finish/fabric samples and articulated color scheme					
Direct - Project Exhibit quantity and quality of samples necessary to accurately understand project							
	Identifier CIDA.6 Assessment Measure Direct - Products the student's level and studen	products that addre student's level in the PO - Program Obje (academic units); Identifier Descr CIDA.6 Buildin buildin Assessment Measure Direct - Project	products that address issues of function, aesthetics, and sustainability appropristudent's level in the program PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/O (academic units); Identifier Description CIDA.6 Buildings Systems and Interior Materials: Students design within the building systems. Students use appropriate materials and product (i.e., performance & sustainability criteria) Direct - Project Exhibit functional appropriateness to the type of project (i.e., performance & sustainability criteria) Direct - Project Exhibit aesthetic appropriateness to the type of project (i.e., performance) Exhibit relationship between finish/fabric samples and				

Program / Department Assessment Narrative

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs (student learning) and departments (operations); this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. In the space below, describe the program's or department's overall plan for improving student learning and/or operations (the "assessment plan"). Consider the following:

- 1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?
- 2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?
- 3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?
- 4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?
- 5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?

Assessment Process

Our process of establishing these goals and objectives was an is undertaken at monthly faculty meetings. We recently reviewed the previous year's goals and compared it to recent accreditation expectations and areas where we want to foster growth in our program and our students. We identified one place where we want to see improvement, student writing. We are also seeing a shift in accreditation and within the academy to be more "human-centered" and a movement away from a similar concept of "sustainability." In our program discussion, we felt that we could begin to incorporate human-centered design research and design problems into our assignments. Also, this year, we intend to begin to evaluate sophomores as well as junior and senior level projects; with this new directive, we want to understand the value of implementing a portfolio review at the end of the sophomore year.

- 1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?
- a. We are fortunate that we have a critique system in place where students present and are evaluated regularly by faculty. We will distribute assessment sheets to be completed during these reviews
- 2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?
- a. We are seeking two primary new goals with-in our students learning outcomes changes from previous years goals and objectives writing skills and application human-centered design research.
- b. We are seeking to continue our evaluation of verbal skills and the understanding of the use and technical application of materials within projects
- c. We hope to asses written as well as verbal skills. This will give us a baseline and understanding of where we are at in terms of implementing writing and improving writing skills of our students.
- d. Shifting research method instructions to include a human-centered research model will result in more articulate design concepts that inform their design processes and outcomes.
- e. We hope to see continued improvement in students understanding and application of materials in their projects.
- 3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?
- a. Our primary initiative to have regular reviews by all faculty member of students at all levels sophomore senior remains our primary initiative to collect data and influence students through an engaged review process.
- 4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?
- a. We are beginning to implement more writing aspects for our assignments. This initiative is beginning and the data collected this year will inform those strategies
- b. We are beginning the process for updating our research methods course, and the data collected this year will inform those strategies
- 5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?
- a. Any data collected will be included in reports to the Director of the School of Architecture and Design and the Dean of the College of the Arts.

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/18)

Results and Improvement Narratives

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Interior design projects have an articulated (spoken and written) design concept.

Goal/Objective	Interior design projects have an articulated (spoken and written) design concept.								
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
	Identifier Description								
	CIDA.4 Interior Design: Students understand and apply the knowledge, skills, processes,								
	and theories of interior design.								
	CIDA.5	CIDA.5 Communication: Students communicate effectively.							
Assessment Measures									
iviedsures	Assessme Measure	ent	Criterion						
	Direct - Pre	esentation	Articulates a cl	ear project concept					
	Direct - Pro	oject	Presents a logically organized articulation of the design solution in relation to concept						
	Direct - Pro	oject	Articulates an aesthetic scheme/concept						
	Direct - Pro	oject	Identifies the human-centered design strategies employed in the project						
	Direct - Pre	esentation	Articulates the research/evidence that has guided design decisions						
	Direct - Pre	esentation	Avoids self-identification with project decisions and solutions (no "I, Me, Mine" etc.)						
Assessment Findings									
-	Assessme Measure	Assessment Measure Summary Attachments of the Narratives Assessments							
	Direct - Presentation	on Articu	ne criterion lates a clear et concept been et?	Assessment: Application of design concept (score: 5.71. Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)					
	Direct - Project	Prese organ articul design	ne criterion nts a logically ized lation of the n solution in on to concept	Assessment: Space planning (score: 4.6. Total range 1-7,					

		been met yet? Met	acceptable range 4-6)	
	Direct - Project	Has the criterion Articulates an aesthetic scheme/concept been met yet? Met	Assessment: Selection of appropriate finishes - aesthetics (score: 5.1. Total range 1- 7, acceptable range 4-6) Assessment: Selection of appropriate furniture - aesthetical (score: 5.15. Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)	
Proje	Direct - Project	Has the criterion Identifies the human- centered design strategies employed in the project been met yet? Met	Assessment: Exploration of program (score: 5.67. Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)	
	Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion Articulates the research/evidence that has guided design decisions been met yet? Met	Assessment: Multiple iterations (score: 5.7. Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)	
	Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion Avoids self- identification with project decisions and solutions (no "I, Me, Mine" etc.) been met yet? Met	Assessment: Statement (score: 5.21. Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Interior design projects demonstrate an approach that is human-centered that reflects research appropriate for the student's level in the program

Goal/Objective	Interior design projects demonstrate an approach that is human-centered that reflects research appropriate for the student's level in the program							
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);							
Standards/Outcomes								
	Identifier Description							

	CIDA.4		sign: Student es of interior	ts understand and app design.	ly the knowledge,	skills, processes
Assessment Measures						
	Assessm	ent Measure	Criterion			
	Direct - Pr	roject	Research	and evaluation of rese	earch is shown in t	he student work
	Direct - Pr	roject	A human-	centered concept is cl	early articulated	
	Direct - Pr	roject	Research	is applied to the desig	n outcomes	
	Direct - Pr		-	informs the design pro		
Assessment Findings						
	Assessm Measure	ent Criter	ion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
	Direct - Project	Resea evalua reseau showr studer	ne criterion arch and ation of ch is in the at work met yet?	Assessment: Multiple iterations (score: 5.7 Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)		
	Direct - Project	A hum center is clea	ed concept rly ated been	Assessment: Exploration of program (score: 5.67. Total range 1- 7, acceptable range 4-6)		
	Direct - Project	Resea applie desigr	ne criterion arch is d to the n outcomes met yet?	Assessment: Exploration of program (score: 5.67. Total range 1- 7, acceptable range 4-6)		
	Direct - Project	Reseathe de	ss been	Assessment: Application of design concept (score: 5.71 Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)		

selection and application of materials, furnishings, and products that address issues of function, aesthetics, and sustainability appropriate for the student's level in the program

Goal/Objective	Interior design projects demonstrate the selection and application of materials, furnishings, and products that address issues of function, aesthetics, and sustainability appropriate for the student's level in the program								
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units); SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
	Identifier Description								
	CIDA.6 Buildings Systems and Interior Materials: Students design within the context of building systems. Students use appropriate materials and products.								
Assessment Measures									
	Assessme Measure	ent	Criterion						
	Direct - Pro	oject		al appropriateness to sustainability criteria)	the type of projec	t (i.e.,			
	Direct - Pro	oject	Exhibit aestheti	c appropriateness to	the type of project				
	Direct - Project Exhibit relationship between finish/fabric samples and articulated c scheme								
	Direct - Pro	oject	Exhibit quantity understand pro	and quality of sample	es necessary to ac	curately			
Assessment Findings									
	Assessment Criterion Summary Attachments of the Narratives Assessments								
	Direct - Project	Exhilappr the t (i.e., susta	the criterion bit functional opriateness to ype of project performance & ainability ria) been met	Assessment: Selection of appropriate furniture - aesthetics (score: 5.15.Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)					
	Direct - Project	Exhil appr the t	the criterion bit aesthetic opriateness to ype of project met yet?	Assessment: Selection of appropriate finishes - aesthetics (score: 5.1.Total range 1- 7, acceptable range 4-6)					

Direct - Project	Has the criterion Exhibit relationship between finish/fabric samples and articulated color scheme been met yet? Met	Assessment: Understanding / use of color terminology (score: 4.05.Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)	
Direct - Project	Has the criterion Exhibit quantity and quality of samples necessary to accurately understand project been met yet? Met	Assessment: Multiple iterations (score:5.7. Total range 1-7, acceptable range 4-6)	

Reflection (Due 9/15/18)

Reflection

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs and operations; this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. Recalling this purpose, respond to the questions below.

1) How were assessment results shared in the program / department?

Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate. Distributed via email

Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected)

Discussed informally (selected)

Other (explain in text box below)

2) How frequently were assessment results shared?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle)
Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) (selected)
Once per cycle
Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply.
Department Head (selected)
Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean

Departmental assessment committee Other faculty / staff (selected)

4) Consider the impact of prior applied changes. Specifically, compare current results to previous results to evaluate the impact of a previously reported change. Demonstrate how the use of results improved student learning and/or operations.

Attached is a chart summary of student work in the sophomore year studio course INDS 202.

Previous evaluations have called attention to students underperforming in terms of written and verbal skills. Also, there was a concern regarding students manipulation of scale and the use of standard graphic (drawing) conventions. These results taken from the complete set of sophomores shows improvement in those areas, although the use of graphic standards remains the weakest (though in the acceptable range) of the metrics assessed. It should be noted that the use of digital tools is amongst the strongest metrics - often an understanding of scale and graphic standards can be masked by the use of digital tools.

The efforts to improve writing are evident with written statements being deemed as meeting expectations and one of the stronger results for the metrics assessed. This is tied to the strength in multiple iterations, where students have now been asked to complete drafts of written statements in advance.

5) Over the past three assessment cycles, what has been the overall impact of "closing the loop"? Provide examples of improvements in student learning, program quality, or department operations that are directly linked to assessment data and follow-up analysis.

This evaluation cycle saw the Interior Design Programs shift toward assessing students mid curriculum. this shift is tie to the School of Architecture and Design's shift to a sophomore portfolio review (or gate) for academic programs.

Over the past three assessment cycles, students have been participation in a broader School of Architecture and Design's efforts in writing across the curriculum. Conjecturally, this seems to impacting a primary goal of improving student communication skills in both writing and spoken presentation. This closing of the loop on these learning outcomes is bringing up student performance.

As we begin to shift our focus to the graphic and planning aspects of our students learning outcomes, their meeting expectations in those categories at this point is promising, although they are at the bottom of this range. By reinforcing those skills earlier in courses, this will bring those skills into more focus as we continue to create a comprehensive evaluation of sophomore work.

Attachments (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process. Acheivment Assessment.pdf